



FFW

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re Application of:

Hidehiro KATOH et al.

Serial No. 10/805,285

Filed: March 22, 2004

For: VIDEO CAMERA

Art Unit: 2622

Examiner: Pasiewicz, Daniel M.

Atty Docket: 0124/0026

RESPONSE TO RESTRICTION REQUIREMENT

Commissioner for Patents
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

Sir:

In response to the Office Action dated June 27, 2008 in which the examiner restricted the claims of the instant application, applicants elect for prosecution in this case Species I as shown in Figs 1-4. Claims 1, 2, 4 and 5 are readable thereon.

It is believed that claims 6 and 7 each should be allowed if claim 4 were allowed insofar as claims 6 and 7 each depend from claim 4.

Respectfully submitted,

Louis Woo, RN 31,730
Law Offices of Louis Woo
717 North Fayette Street
Alexandria, Virginia 22314
(703) 299-4090

Date:

July 11, 2008



IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re Application of:

Hidehiro KATOH et al.

Serial No. 10/805,285

Filed: March 22, 2004

For: VIDEO CAMERA

Art Unit: 2622

Examiner: Pasiewicz, Daniel M.

Atty Docket: 0124/0026

RESPONSE TO RESTRICTION REQUIREMENT

Commissioner for Patents
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

Sir:

In response to the Office Action dated June 27, 2008 in which the examiner restricted the claims of the instant application, applicants elect for prosecution in this case Species I as shown in Figs 1-4. Claims 1, 2, 4 and 5 are readable thereon.

It is believed that claims 6 and 7 each should be allowed if claim 4 were allowed insofar as claims 6 and 7 each depend from claim 4.

Respectfully submitted,

Louis Woo, RN 31,730
Law Offices of Louis Woo
717 North Fayette Street
Alexandria, Virginia 22314
(703) 299-4090

Date:

July 11, 2008